

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.uspico.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/053,152	01/23/2002	John Michael Miller	200-0459	8695
22844	7590 02/13/2003			
FORD GLOBAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC			EXAMINER	
SUITE 600 - ONE PARKL	PARKLANE TOWERS ANE BLVD.	EAST	AST BENENSO	
DEARBORN	MI 48126	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2836	
			DATE MAILED: 02/13/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		MILLER, JOHN MICHAE	= // /		
Offic Action Summary	10/053,152 Examiner	Art Unit			
	Boris Benenson	2836			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period for Reply		·			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of t will apply and will expire SIX (6) M cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communic ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 l</u>	December 2002				
· 	is action is non-final.				
,2		natters, prosecution as to the mer	rits is		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>34-49</u> is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>34-49</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	- alastian raquiromont				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)		••			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 10/053,152 Page 2

Art Unit: 2836

1. The substitute specification, submitted by the Applicant on 12/2/2002 is entered. Objections to the Specification are overcome.

- 2. Objections to the Abstract are overcome.
- 3. The amendments to Claims, submitted by the Applicant on 12/2/2002 are entered. Claims 22-33 are canceled and Claims 34-49 are entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 34-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Diehl et al. (5,765,513) in view of Ule (3,896,346). Diehl et al. discloses an electromechanically actuated valve (12) for use as an intake or exhaust valve in an internal combustion engine (Abstract, lines 1-2). Diehl doesn't disclose a method for utilizing the energy accumulated in during slowing a valve down read on decelerating by transferring it to a valve being accelerated. Ule teach a high speed electromagnet

. Application/Control Number: 10/053,152 Page 3

Art Unit: 2836

control circuit where both actuation and de-actuation speed of the electromagnet are increased and rapid de-actuation is achieved either by a high reverse voltage applied to the solenoid and the return of its energy to the power source or by a diode and capacitor network which transfers the magnetic energy to a second solenoid which thereupon becomes energized (Abstract Lines 11-17). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified electromechanically activated valve disclosed by Diehl et al. with teachings of Ule, because it will enable valves to open and close at faster rate and save energy.

Claims 34-47 describe substantially similar methods.

Limitations as

- a) "Transferring electrical energy generated ...",
- b) "Recirculating a current generated ...",
- c) "Reversing a flow of current... and directing said current."
- d) "Generating a current in first electromechanical cylinder exhaust valve... and routing said current to second electromechanical cylinder intake valve..." are not distinguishable in the application. The steps of transferring energy generated during closing exhaust valve of one cylinder to different cylinder to open its intake valve and transferring energy generated during closing intake valve of one

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/053,152

Art Unit: 2836

cylinder to different cylinder to open its exhaust valve are similar in their nature and defined by timing diagram of the engine controller, which is inherent in the method.

Referring to Claim 47, in a method for controlling an engine described in the Claim particular mechanical design of the valve is non-essential and ball-screw design of the valve should have no effect on patentability of the method.

Claim 48 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being 5. unpatentable over Diehl et al. (5,765,513) in view of Reinicke (5,318,064) and further view of Ueda et al. (5,150,020). Diehl et al. discloses an electromechanically activated valve for use as intake or exhaust valve on internal combustive engine (col.2, line 58). Reinicke teaches a motor-operated valve, which "employs a motor-driven ball-screw system to develop requisite axial displacement for the valve member" (Col.1, Lines 19-21). In such design "Great mechanical advantage is achieved for relatively low motor-torque delivery" (Abstract). Neither Diehl et al. or Reinicke disclose a method of an electrical control system, including plurality of the motors. Ueda et al. teach a Driving Controlling Method for Drums of Automatic Winder. That automatic winder includes a plurality of accelerating and decelerating motors and inverters to control such motors. The method effectively controls the motors by redirecting

Application/Control Number: 10/053,152 Page 5

Art Unit: 2836

"regenerating energy, generated upon deceleration to be consumed by the motors being accelerated" (Col.3, Lines 42-45).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the electromechanical valve of Diehl et al. to use a motor to drive the valve as taught by Reinicke because this allows control an engine by controlling the valve's position, valve's dwell time duration, valve's opening and closing rate.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the method of controlling the electromechanical valve of Diehl et al. and Reinicke to use recaptured potential energy from one valve to drive another valve as taught by Ueda et al. because this allows regenerated energy to be utilized which saves energy.

Referring to Claim 49, Reinicke teaches an electromechanical valve with ball-screw design.

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS**ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/053,152

Art Unit: 2836

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Contact information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Boris Benenson whose telephone number is (703) 305-6917. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:20-6:00) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on (703) 308-3119. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 10/053,152 Page 7

Art Unit: 2836

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Boris Benenson Examiner Art Unit 2836

B.B February 11, 2003

BRIAN SIRCUS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800